

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/756,890	01/13/2004	H. Phillip Koeffler	066783-0144	3623
41552	7590 06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	OTT, WILL & EMERY LLA VILLAGE DRIVE,	DELACROIX MUIRHEI. CYBILLE		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/756,890	KOEFFLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	pril 2006.					
	s action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-115</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-115</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Detailed Action

The following is responsive to applicant's amendment received April 7, 2006.

Claims 1-60 are cancelled. New claims 61-115 are added. Claims 61-115 are currently pending.

All previous claim objections and rejections set forth in the office action mailed Oct. 11, 2005 are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment, which cancels claims 1-60.

Upon further consideration of the newly submitted claims, the examiner respectfully submits the following restriction requirement.

Applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein will be considered in so far as they relate to the elected invention.

Due to the complex nature of the claims, no request for an oral election is being made. Please see MPEP 812.01.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 61-66 and 89-94, drawn to a method of reducing the severity of a proliferative disorder and a method of reducing cancer recurrence by administering paricalcitol, classified in class 514, subclass 167.
- II. Claims 67-88 and 95-115, drawn to a method of reducing the severity of a proliferative disorder and reducing cancer recurrence by administering a combination of paricalcitol and an anti-cancer agent, classified in class 514, subclass 167.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/756,890

Art Unit: 1614

Inventions I and II are independent and distinct. The method of Group I describes a treatment of proliferative disorders and reducing the recurrence of cancer, wherein the treatment involves administration of paricalcitol alone. On the other hand the method of Group II involves combination chemotherapy, wherein the treatment of the claimed proliferative disorders, i.e. cancer, requires administration of paricalcitol and an anti-cancer agent.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a method of reducing the severity of and reducing the recurrence of SPECIES A, myelodysplastic syndrome; SPECIES B, leukemia; SPECIES C, acute myelocytic leukemia; SPECIES D, acute lymphocytic leukemia; SPECIES E, multiple myeloma; SPECIES F, breast cancer; SPECIES G, colon cancer; and SPECIES H, prostate cancer (67-88 and 95-115 only). The species are independent or distinct because each disease or disorder has a different etiology and pathophysiological manifestation and that each is treated differently. Such is sufficient to indicate that each disease listed above is differently searched in the patent and non-patent literature and that a search for one disease will not necessarily result in a comprehensive search of any one or more of the other diseases listed. Despite the fact that applicant may have established an underlying commonality for the claimed diseases, i.e. proliferative disorders or "cancer", it remains that each of the diseases are recognized in the art as being clinically and pathophysiologically distinct from one another and, thus, each of the above-identified species is capable of supporting separate patents.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 61, 67, 89, 95 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/756,890 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid whose telephone number is 571-

272-0572. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:30 to 6:00 as well as

every other Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 19, 2006

Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid
Patent Examiner Group 1600